PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D	-	2005
CHIN		 POT

			PAIENI COOPER	CATION INE	WII	}
From the NTERNATI	ONAL SEARCH	ING AUTH	ORITY			WIFO
To:	LD ZER LAW OF				PCT	
TEL-AVIV, ISRAEL 65133		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE				
•			·		ONAL SEARCHIN	
					(PCT Rule 43bis.)	1)
•				Date of mailing (day/month/year)		EP 2009
	s or agent's file re	ference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below	_
B-0026-00 Internation	al application No.		International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/mor	ntlı/year)
PCT/IL04/	01191		30 December 2004 (30.12	2.2004)	02 January 2004 (02.0	01.2004)
		ation (IPC)	or both national classification		1	
IPC(7): G0	6F 11/30.9.12.14	.15.32.173: F	104L, 9/00 and US Cl.: 713	/201.164.167.187.18	39:705/58,75:709/224	
Applicant		, , , , .				
APPLICU	RE TECHNOLOG	GIES LTD.	·			
1. This o	pinion contains in	ndications rel	ating to the following items	3 :	-	
\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	e opinion			
	Box No. II	Priority				•
	Box No. III	Non-establ	ishment of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industrial	l applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of un	ity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned applicabili	statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> ty; citations and explanation	.1(a)(i) with regard t ns supporting such s	o novelty, inventive ste tatement	p or industrial
	Box No. VI	Certain do	cuments cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain de	fects in the international ap	plication		
	Box No. VIII	Certain ob	servations on the internatio	nal application		
	THER ACTIO					
Intern Author	national Prelimina	ary Examini nis one to be	minary examination is mading Authority ("IPEA") exite IPEA and the chosen tional Searching Authority	ccept that this does IPEA has notified t	s not apply where the he International Bureau	applicant chooses an
IPEA of Fo	a written reply to	ogether, whe or before the	we, considered to be a writere appropriate, with amend e expiration of 22 months fr	ments, before the ex	xpiration of 3 months b	rom the date of mailing
For f	urther options, see	Form PCT/	ISA/220.	•		ľ
3. For fi	urther details, see	notes to For	m PCT/ISA/220.			·
Name and	mailing address	of the ISA/ U	JS	Authorized office	er	
	Mail Stop PCT, Att	n: ISA/US		AYAZ SEEK	Ĥ	
1	Commissioner for P P.O. Box 1450					
1	Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450 Telephone No. (703) 305-3900					

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (571) 273-8300
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

International application No.	
PCT/IL04/01191	

Box No. I Basis of this opinion
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material
a sequence listing
table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material
in written format
in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing
contained in international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:
\cdot

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (January 2004)

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/IL04/01191

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule applicability; citations and expl	43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) anations supp	with regard to novelty, in orting such statement	ventive step or industrial	
1. Statement				•
Novelty (N)	Claims	NONE		YES
,	Claims	1-20		NO
770	Claima	NONE		YES
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	NONE 1-20		_NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims			_YES _NO
	Claims	NONE		_140
- Citation and ambandings				
2. Citations and explanations: Please See Continuation Sheet				
. Totale Deb Commission Division				
	•			
	-			
		·		
			•	
		•		
	•			
		,		
				•
		•		

International application No.

PCT/IL04/01191

Darr Nia VIIII	Cartain	observations or	the in	tarnational	annlication
Box No. VIII	Certain	ODSELASTIONS OF	ı une in	ternationai	application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 7 & 17 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because the claims are not fully supported by the description. The application, as originally filed, did not describe: Claims 7 and 17 recite the limitation "sign in parameters" the specification doesn't describe the details of the "session sign in parameters".

Claims 8 & 18 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because the claims are not fully supported by the description. The application, as originally filed, did not describe: Claims 8 and 18 recite the limitation "initial session type parameters" the specification doesn't describe the details of the "initial session type parameters".

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/IL04/01191

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.	

V. 2. Citations and Explanations: Claims 1-20 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Carter et al. US 2003/0051026.

Regarding claims 1 & 11: Carter discloses a security system for preventing unauthorized processes activities within a network server environment (Page 10, Paragraph 168), wherein each process is associated to at least one identified communication session (Page 21, Paragraphs 341 & 349 / session ID) and the process authorization is determined in accordance with predefined rules (Page 26, Paragraph 393), wherein said rules refer to the properties of the identified communication session (Page 25, Paragraph 383 / Rules defining the processes access).

Regarding claims 2 & 12: Carter discloses the system of claim 1 further comprising of a filtering module installed on each server for blocking unauthorized processes activities in accordance with determined authorization (Page 36, Paragraphs 655, 657 & Page 48 Paragraph 984 / a sub-layer to block all processes associated with attacks by filtering out all IP addresses related to the process in the Process Matrix Vector).

Regarding claims 3 & 13: Carter discloses the system of claim 1 wherein the system includes at least one agent installed on one of the protected servers within the server network environment, said agent enables correlating between processes and sessions on different servers (Page 36 paragraphs 653, 656 & Page 37 Paragraphs 665, 667 & 671 / Commander, Demons, KnS, Agents and angels perform correlation and access control).

Regarding claims 4 & 14: Carter discloses thee system of claim 1 wherein for each process an identification code of the identified communication session is added to the process information vector (Page 23, Paragraph 363 / Process ID PID).

Regarding claims 5 & 15: Carter disclose the system of claim 4 wherein the identification code replaces redundant information in the process information vector (Page 21, Paragraphs 341 & 342/PID vector).

Regarding claims 6 & 16: Carter discloses the system of claim 1 wherein the processes are associated to the identified communication session by a unique process identifier (Page 21, Paragraphs 342,346).

Regarding claims 7 & 17: Carter discloses the system of claim 1 wherein the identified session properties are sign in parameters (Page 21, Paragraph 349).

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/IL04/01191

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.				
Regarding claims 8 & 18: Carter discloses the system of claim session type parameters (Page 21, Paragraph 351 & Page 2	1 1 wherein the identified session properties are initial 23 paragraph 363).			
Regarding claims 9 & 19: Carter discloses the system of claim 1 wherein the identified session properties are hyperlink session address type parameters.				
Regarding claims 10 & 20: Carter discloses the system of claim 6 wherein the communication session is identified according to a unique Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) port ID (Page 21 Paragraphs 349, 350& 351).				
Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus h be made or used in industry.	nave industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can			
	•			
•				
	·			
•				
	•			

REC'D	SEP	2005
Velia	 	PCT

			PATENT COOPER	ATION TREA	All	
From the INTERNATI	ONAL SEARCH	ING AUTH	ORITY			Velipo
To: APPELFEI 29 LILINB	D ZER LAW OF	FICE			PCT	
	, ISRAEL 6513	3		WR	ITTEN OPINION (OF THE
•					NAL SEARCHIN	
					(PCT Rule 43bis.)	1)
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	21 S	EP 2009
Applicant's	or agent's file re	ference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
B-0026-00	00 al application No.		International filing date (daylar outh hear)	Priority date (day/mor	nth/vear)
Internation	ат аррисацоп 140.		1		, , ,	
PCT/IL04/		nation (TDC)	30 December 2004 (30.12 or both national classification		02 January 2004 (02.0)1.2004)
	6F 11/30,9,12,14,	,15,32,173; I	104L, 9/00 and US CL: 713	<u>/201,164,167,187,18</u>	39;705/58,75;709/224	
Applicant						
APPLICU	RE TECHNOLOG	GIES LTD.			·	
1. This o	pinion contains in	ndications re	lating to the following items	· :		
	Box No. I	Basis of th	e opinion			
	Box No. II	Priority			•	
	Box No. III	Non-establ	ishment of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, inve	ntive step and industria	l applicability
	Box No. IV		ity of invention		,	
	Box No. V	Reasoned applicabili	statement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> . ty; citations and explanation	1(a)(i) with regard t as supporting such s	o novelty, inventive ste tatement	p or industrial
	Box No. VI	Certain do	cuments cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain de	fects in the international app	plication		l
	Box No. VIII	Certain ob	servations on the internation	nal application		
	THER ACTIO					
Interr Autho	national Prelimina prity other than the	ary Examini nis one to be	minary examination is mading Authority ("IPEA") ex the IPEA and the chosen tional Searching Authority	cept that this does IPEA has notified t	s not apply where the he International Bureau	applicant chooses an
IPEA of Fo	a written reply to rm PCT/ISA/220	ogether, whe	ve, considered to be a writ are appropriate, with amend e expiration of 22 months fr	ments, before the ex	xpiration of 3 months b	rom the date of mailing
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3. For fi	urther details, see	notes to For	m PCT/ISA/220.	_		
	mailing address		JS	Authorized office	er	
	Mail Stop PCT, Att	n: ISA/US		AYAZ SHEK	H	
1	Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450					
1	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Telephone No. (703) 305-3900					

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (571) 273-8300
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

International application No.	
PCT/IL04/01191	

Box No. I Basis of this opinion
With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language,
which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material
a sequence listing
table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material
in written format
in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing
contained in international application as filed.
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

Form PCT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (January 2004)

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/IL04/01191

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1. Statement		•		•		
Novelty (N)	Claims	NONE		YES		
	Claims	1-20		NO		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	NONE	NONE			
	Claims			NO		
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-20	·	YES		
muddian approve-ing (in y				NO		
2. Citations and explanations:						
Please See Continuation Sheet		,				
				,		
•						
		·				
		•				
		·				
	•					
,						
		·				
•						
			·			

International application No.

PCT/IL04/01191

Box No. VI	M Certain	observations or	n the inter	aational an	nlication
DOX 110. 13		ODSCI VALIDITS OF		наична ар	piicacion

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 7 & 17 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because the claims are not fully supported by the description. The application, as originally filed, did not describe: Claims 7 and 17 recite the limitation "sign in parameters" the specification doesn't describe the details of the "session sign in parameters".

Claims 8 & 18 are objected to as lacking clarity under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) because the claims are not fully supported by the description. The application, as originally filed, did not describe: Claims 8 and 18 recite the limitation "initial session type parameters" the specification doesn't describe the details of the "initial session type parameters".

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (January 2004)

International application No. PCT/IL04/01191

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.	

V. 2. Citations and Explanations: Claims 1-20 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Carter et al. US 2003/0051026.

Regarding claims 1 & 11: Carter discloses a security system for preventing unauthorized processes activities within a network server environment (Page 10, Paragraph 168), wherein each process is associated to at least one identified communication session (Page 21, Paragraphs 341 & 349 / session ID) and the process authorization is determined in accordance with predefined rules (Page 26, Paragraph 393), wherein said rules refer to the properties of the identified communication session (Page 25, Paragraph 383 / Rules defining the processes access).

Regarding claims 2 & 12: Carter discloses the system of claim 1 further comprising of a filtering module installed on each server for blocking unauthorized processes activities in accordance with determined authorization (Page 36, Paragraphs 655, 657 & Page 48 Paragraph 984 / a sub-layer to block all processes associated with attacks by filtering out all IP addresses related to the process in the Process Matrix Vector).

Regarding claims 3 & 13: Carter discloses the system of claim 1 wherein the system includes at least one agent installed on one of the protected servers within the server network environment, said agent enables correlating between processes and sessions on different servers (Page 36 paragraphs 653, 656 & Page 37 Paragraphs 665, 667 & 671 / Commander, Demons, KnS, Agents and angels perform correlation and access control).

Regarding claims 4 & 14: Carter discloses thee system of claim 1 wherein for each process an identification code of the identified communication session is added to the process information vector (Page 23, Paragraph 363 / Process ID PID).

Regarding claims 5 & 15: Carter disclose the system of claim 4 wherein the identification code replaces redundant information in the process information vector (Page 21, Paragraphs 341 & 342/PID vector).

Regarding claims 6 & 16: Carter discloses the system of claim 1 wherein the processes are associated to the identified communication session by a unique process identifier (Page 21, Paragraphs 342,346).

Regarding claims 7 & 17: Carter discloses the system of claim 1 wherein the identified session properties are sign in parameters (Page 21, Paragraph 349).

International application No. PCT/IL04/01191

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.										
Regarding claims 8 & 18: Carter discloses the system of claim 1 wherein the identified session properties are initial session type parameters (Page 21, Paragraph 351 & Page 23 paragraph 363). Regarding claims 9 & 19: Carter discloses the system of claim 1 wherein the identified session properties are hyperlink session address type parameters. Regarding claims 10 & 20: Carter discloses the system of claim 6 wherein the communication session is identified according to a unique Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) port ID (Page 21 Paragraphs 349, 350& 351). Claims 1-20 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.										
	·									
	·	٠								
•										